

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,467	09/06/2001	William W. Rowley	39288-0112	4181
24115	7590 08/18/2003			
BUCKINGHAM, DOOLITTLE & BURROUGHS, LLP			EXAMINER	
50 S. MAIN S AKRON, OH			NICHOLSON, ERIC K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Summary   Examiner   Summary	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Eric K Nicholson   3679   36			09/682,467	ROWLEY, WILLIAM W.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions or time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(do), in no event, horeover, may a reply be limitly filed  Extensions or time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(do), in no event, horeover, may a reply be limitly filed  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum of 37 CPR 1.13(do), in no event, horeover, may a reply be limitly filed  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum attainatory period will be subtilitively minimum of thiny (30) days will be commissioned timely.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum attainatory period will be part of the filed part of the filed part of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examination and the provision of the period of the provision of the filed on 12 May 2003.  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is finad.  3 Is since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the menits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4.31 is/are pending in the application.  4) Of the above claim(s) 19.24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 12.18 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 4.11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The proposed drawing correction filed on is accepted or by objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The orthory of reducing the provisity documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached defense of a clai		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Set of the processor of the processor of 3 CFR 1.38(a), in no avent, however, may a reply be timely filed  set of the period for reply specified whose is less than this (%) diags, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (%) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory proded will apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH5 from the malling date of this communication.  If the period for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory proded will apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH5 from the malling date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified subove, the maximum statutory proded will apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH5 from the malling date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory proded will apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH5 from the malling date of this communication.  Any cety received by the Office at the first membrane and the period will apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH5 from the malling date of this communication.  Any cety received by the Office at the first membrane and the period will apply and will expire \$1X (6) MONTH5 from the malling date of this communication.  Any cety revised by the Office and set of the period will apply and the period will apply and the period will apply and the communication.  Status  I) Separate the maximum and the period will apply and the pe							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extrainistics of time may be available under the proteins of 37 CR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be limely filed of the SX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The SX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the SX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply visible the set or deducted principle of the set of the communication of reply the substance of the set of the communication, even if the set of the communication of the set of the communication, even if the set of the communication of the set of the set of the communication of the set of the communication of the set o	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1 and 4.34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1 and 4.11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)	THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum status or the months after the maximum status or the maximum status or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum status or the maximum status of the maximum status or the maximum status of the	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 May 2003 .				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
A) □ Claim(s) 1 and 4-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s)	3)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s)	Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   Online of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6)  Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some *c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Prefsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18</u> is/are allowed.					
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  4)   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		•					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ד ∐(10	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Who tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info				

Art Unit: 3679

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Claim Rejections -35 USC § 112

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 depends from cancelled claim 3.

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 4,598,937 to Sugao in view of U.S. patent 5,169,10 to Villoni et al.. Sugao discloses the claimed invention of a connector for liquids with an inner polymeric liner 2, (column 2, line 3) having a flares end and a bore (fig. 1), a bendable outer metallic sleeve 1, (column 1, last line) having a flared end of similar geometry to the liner flared end and a threaded nut 9 which includes a shelf that contactingly engages the flared ends of the metal sleeve, (fig. 1). The metallic sleeve is considered to be bendable given the small wall thickness (column 1, lines 9-11 and in view of the wall portion 5 being bent (column 2, line 26). However the Sugao patent only illustrates one end of the connector and thus the two flared ends of the liner and sleeve and the two nuts are not shown. Villoni et al. gives evidence to the fact that is it well known in the art to provide fluid connectors with duplicate or matching ends. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the flared end of Sugao at the other end of the lined connector thus providing two flared ends of the liner and sleeve and two nuts, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 5,6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 4,598,937 to Sugao in view of U.S. patent 5,169,10 to Villoni et al. as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of U.S. patent 3,743,328 to Longfellow. The combination of Sugao and Villoni et al. discloses the claimed device as noted above except for the particular structure sleeve having ribs. Longfellow discloses that it is known in the art to provide a *similar* type coupling (a flexible, ribbed, lined connector with flared ends connected via threaded nuts) with well known ribs 21 to aid in the connecting line to be durably flexible. Ribbed or corrugated connection tubes are known in the art to aid in connecting between two fixed objects where the corrugations add flexibility to the connection line to enable the objects to be connected if the objects being connected happen to be out of alignment with each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bendable fluid connection line of Sugao with the ribs or corrugations as taught by Longfellow, in order to allow for increased flexibility and durability of the connection line should the objects being connected be out of alignment.

Art Unit: 3679

Page 5

Claims 7,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over to Sugao in view of Villoni et and Longfellow al. as applied to claims 5,6 and 9 above, and further in view of U.S. patent 3,399,908 to Kurtz. The combination of Sugao, Villoni et al. and Longfellow discloses the claimed device as noted above except for there is no mention of using washers for sealing with the liner. Kurtz discloses that it is known in the art to provide a *similar* type coupling (a lined connector with flared ends connected via threaded nuts) with well known washers 24. Washers are notoriously known in the art to aid in stopping leaks in connections and providing a removable wear component to the connection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the lined fluid connection of Sugao with washers as taught by Kurtz in order to aid in the sealing of the flared ends during compression make up of the joint and to further assist in the sealing between the two layers of tube.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-18 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3679

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Applicant's arguments with respect to the restriction requirement have been noted and applicant is referred to MPEP section 818.03(c) and 37 CFR 1.144 where is it noted that applicant may petition the Commissioner to review the requirement. Petition may be deferred until after final action on or allowance of claims to the invention elected, but must be filed not later than appeal. A petition will not be considered if reconsideration of the requirement was not requested.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax

phone number for Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9326 for "before final" papers and (703) 872-9325 for "after final" papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ekn 7/24/03 W@H

Eric K. Nicholson
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3600